Internet Engineering Task Force
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: June 8, 2014

N. Akiya
C. Pignataro
D. Ward
Cisco Systems

December 5, 2013

Seamless Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD) for IP
draft-akiya-bfd-seamless-ip-01

Abstract

This specification defines procedures to use Seamless Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (S-BFD) in IP and IP signalled MPLS environments.

Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on June 8, 2014.

Copyright Notice

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

One application for Seamless Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (S-BFD) [I-D.akiya-bfd-seamless-base] is to perform full and partial reachability validations on IP and IP signalled MPLS environments.

This specification defines procedures to use Seamless BFD in IP and IP signalled MPLS environments.

2. BFD Target Identifier Type

BFD target identifier type of value 1 is used for IPv4 addresses and router IDs. This identifier type will cover Seamless BFD in following scenarios:

- BFD control packets IPv4 routed.
- BFD control packets IPv6 routed.
- BFD control packets label switched in IPv4 signaled LSP.

- BFD control packets label switched in IPv6 signaled LSP.

Not all IPv6 aspects are covered by this specification, and details are clarified in Section 3.

3. Reserved BFD Discriminators

With IPv4 based BFD, BFD target identifier type 1 is used. IPv4 addresses are used as BFD discriminators. BFD discriminator values corresponding to all or subset of local IPv4 addresses are to be allocated from the discriminator pool for Seamless BFD.

Example:

- BFD Target Identifier Type 1: IPv4 address 3.3.2.1 maps to BFD discriminator 0x03030201.

With IPv6 based BFD, BFD target identifier type 1 is used. Router IDs are used as BFD discriminators. BFD discriminator values corresponding to all or subset of local router IDs are to be allocated from the discriminator pool for Seamless BFD. IDs which are larger than 32 bits (ex: ISIS system ID) are not included as part of this identifier type, and is outside the scope of this document.

Example:

- BFD Target Identifier Type 1: Router-ID 3.3.4.5 maps to BFD discriminator 0x03030405.

Note that it is acceptable for an IPv4 address and a router-ID to collide, mapping into a same BFD discriminator value. There will not be an issue as long as colliding BFD discriminator value is reserved for the Seamless BFD purpose.

4. BFD Target Identifier Table

With IP identifier type, only locally reserved BFD discriminators and corresponding information are to be in this table. No inter-node communications are needed to exchange BFD discriminator and BFD target identifier mappings.

5. Full Reachability Validations

5.1. Initiator Behavior

Any IP network node can attempt to perform a full reachability validation to any BFD target identifier of type 1 (IPv4 address or router-ID) on other network nodes, as long as destination BFD target identifier is provisioned to use this mechanism. Transmitted BFD control packet by the initiator is to have "your discriminator" corresponding to destination IPv4 address or router ID.

Initiator is to use following procedures to construct BFD control packets to perform IP full reachability validations on BFD packets that are IP routed:

- MUST set "your discriminator" to target IPv4 address or target router-ID.
- If packet is to be explicitly label switched, then explicit label switching packet format described in [I-D.akiya-bfd-seamless-base] MUST be used. Otherwise IP routing packet format described in [I-D.akiya-bfd-seamless-base] MUST be used.

5.2. Responder Behavior

To respond to received BFD control packet which was targeted to local BFD target identifier of type 1 (IP address or router-ID), response BFD control packet is targeted to IP address taken from received "source IP address". Responder MUST validate obtained IP address is in valid format (ex: not Martian address). Responder MUST consult local routing table to ensure obtained IP address is reachable.

6. Partial Reachability Validations

Procedures described in [I-D.akiya-bfd-seamless-base] applies.

7. MPLS Label Verifications

MPLS label verification mechanism is applicable to those IP based BFD which use explicit label switching techniques. However, details of what responder embeds in the lower 23 bits of localhost address, and how initiator determines correctness of label programming is outside the scope of this document.

8. Provisioning Active IP Sessions

Active IP BFD sessions, single-hop, multi-hop or MPLS can be instantiated on any network node to any IPv4 target addresses and OSPFv3 router IDs using this mechanism. This style of usage is particularly useful only if one side is required to perform full reachability validations (ex: static route, uni-directional tunnel).

This style of usage is also particularly useful to perform validations and verifications on just subset of LSPs (ex: inter-AS, injection of partial BFD reachability validation packet on IPv4 RSVP LSP nodes).

9. Security Considerations

Security considerations for BFD are discussed in [RFC5880] and security considerations for S-BFD are discussed in [I-D.akiya-bfd-seamless-base].

10. IANA Considerations

None

11. Acknowledgements

Authors would like to thank Marc Binderberger from Cisco Systems for providing valuable comments.

12. Contributing Authors

Tarek Saad
Cisco Systems
Email: tsaad@cisco.com

Siva Sivabalan
Cisco Systems
Email: msiva@cisco.com

Nagendra Kumar
Cisco Systems
Email: naikumar@cisco.com

13. References

13.1. Normative References

[I-D.akiya-bfd-seamless-base] Akiya, N., Pignataro, C., Ward, D., Bhatia, M., and J. Networks, "Seamless Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD) with MPLS Label Verification Extension", draft-akiya-bfd-seamless-base-02 (work in progress), October 2013.

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.

[RFC5880] Katz, D. and D. Ward, "Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD)", RFC 5880, June 2010.

13.2. Informative References

[RFC5881] Katz, D. and D. Ward, "Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD) for IPv4 and IPv6 (Single Hop)", RFC 5881, June 2010.

[RFC5883] Katz, D. and D. Ward, "Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD) for Multihop Paths", RFC 5883, June 2010.

[RFC5884] Aggarwal, R., Kompella, K., Nadeau, T., and G. Swallow, "Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD) for MPLS Label Switched Paths (LSPs)", RFC 5884, June 2010.

Authors' Addresses

Nobo Akiya
Cisco Systems

Email: nobo@cisco.com

Carlos Pignataro
Cisco Systems

Email: cpignata@cisco.com

Dave Ward
Cisco Systems

Email: wardd@cisco.com